Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/790,298	PHAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Abul Kalam	2814	

	Abul Kalam	2814			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places at application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TW		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding another of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; ask forth in (b) above, if checked, Ayr pepty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if time may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);			
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTC					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	planation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5, 7, 23, 25, 26, 33 and 34. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Wael M Fahmy/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2814					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. The new limitation of 'during operation,' is drawn to an intended use which is recited in the preamble. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is inteded to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Regarding the shape of the line patterns, note that Dinindsa's concentric circles (Fig. 2a) have the same shape as a spring shaped structure. Furthermore, note that without evidence of criticality or unpredictability, it has been held that a shape of a structure is generally recognized as being a matter of design choice within the level of ordinary skill in the art.